

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/868,901	07/09/2001	Masaro Tamatsuka	P10724200019	1242
4372	7590 10/10/2003		EXAM	INER
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			KUNEMUND, ROBERT M	
SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1765		

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/868,901	TAMATSUKA		
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit		
	Robert M Kunemund	1765		
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep			
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the company of the communication of the	ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ( y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTh by statute, cause the application to become ABAI	S from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>09 September 2003</u> .			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.			
Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 7-14 is/are pending in the app	lication.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	vithdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-14</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the	e Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	* *		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		approved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are require	• •			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for</li></ul>	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_		
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d	omestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign languants) $\square$ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d				
Attachment(s)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-53)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper</li> </ol>	948) 5) Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5		

Application/Control Number: 09/868,901

Art Unit: 1765

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 7, 8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horai et al (6,113,687) or JP 11-199385.

The Horai et al and JP 11-199385 references teach a silicon wafer and a czochralski process of producing the wafer. From a silicon melt, a crystal is pulled by the czochralski method. The speed and gradients across the growing crystal can be varied to create a specific silicon crystal. The speed and gradients are set such that an OSF ring is created at the periphery of the crystal. When the crystal is sliced into a wafer the OSF ring in on the periphery of the wafer. The sole difference between the instant claims and the prior art is the OSF ring touching a boat side, note entire references and more particularly Horai, col. 4 and in JP 11-199385 translated abs.

Application/Control Number: 09/868,901

Art Unit: 1765

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that when a wafer with an OSF ring on the periphery is placed in a boat, that the OSF ring would contact the boat side.

Claims 9, 10, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horai et al (6,113,687) or JP 11-199385 both in view of Jp 1195565.

The Horai et al and JP 11-199385 references are relied on for the same reasons as stated, supra, and differ form the instant claims in the nitrogen content. However, the Jp 1195565 reference teaches czochralski growth of silicon and silicon wafer s where the nitrogen content is within the claimed range, note translated abs. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Horai et al and JP 11-199385 references by the teachings of the Jp 1195565 reference in order to reduce dislocations in the silicon.

## Examiner's Remarks

The remaining references are merely cited of interest as showing the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M Kunemund whose telephone number is 703-308-1091. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hours.

Application/Control Number: 09/868,901

Art Unit: 1765

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ben Utech can be reached on 703-308-3636. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

**RMK** 

ROBERT KUNEMUND PRIMARY EXAMINER